



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,254	03/03/2004	David John Steeves	MSFT-2934 / 306552.01	3966
41505	7590	07/28/2009	EXAMINER	
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION)			KRISHNAN, VIVEK V	
CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2929 ARCH STREET			2445	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/792,254	Applicant(s) STEEVES, DAVID JOHN
	Examiner Vivek Krishnan	Art Unit 2445

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vivek Krishnan. (3) _____.

(2) Allen Oh. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 July 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 1, 9, 17, 25.

Identification of prior art discussed: Weaver, Vogt.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed amendments directed to dividing only the set of non-traversed addresses and transferring this set of addresses to another network element. Suggested that the applicants make further clarifications to the term 'data' in the claims. Further search/consideration required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

N. K./
Examiner, Art Unit 2445

VIVEK SRIVASTAVA/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2445